

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,397	04/19/2004	James Durham	H311030USCOM	9914
28079 GOWLING L.	7590 01/29/2007 AFLEUR HENDERSON	EXAMINER		
ONE MAIN ST	TREET WEST		LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW	
HAMILTON, ON L8P 4Z5 CANADA		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		01/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/826,397	DURHAM, JAMES	DURHAM, JAMES	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Justin M. Larson	3782		

3 ,,	LXammer	Airoill				
•	Justin M. Larson	3782				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin		to the Control of the control	tabanian ta kakan da			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS.OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
	nlianna with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two months				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS 2. Mark The second and the second an	har death and the second second					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	,	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		•			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	•					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	•		_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 2 and 3.	·					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·					
	ut before or on the date of filing a N	ation of Appeal will be	ot he entered			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	-				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
MATHAN J. NEWHOUSE						
	NA SUPERV	AT(HAN J. NEWHOU /ISORY PATENT EX	SE (AMINER			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The open front end of the U-shaped horizontal base of the holder facing forwardly in the direction in which the user walks when walking forwardly is a new issue. Previously, the term "forwardly" was broad enough to mean basically any direction in which the user walked. The art previously relied upon satisfied the claimed structure when considering "forwardly" in the broad sense. The newly introduced limitations of the term "forwardly" require further search of the prior art and further consideration.